

SOCIAL WORK WITH GROUPS
(Ragg, 2010, Garvin, 2011-2012)

CHECKLIST FOR PLANNING GROUPS

TREATMENT PLANNING ISSUES

1. What need is this group responding to?

- social system,
- agency,
- worker,
- client,
- community,
- societal.

Given this need, how will I recruit members?

2. What is the main purpose of the group (and how should I structure it for intimacy/authority)?

- treatment (high intimacy/low authority),
- support (high intimacy/low authority),
- socialization (high intimacy/low authority),
- self help/self advocacy (mid intimacy/low authority),
- skills building (mid intimacy/mid authority),
- community action (mid intimacy/high authority),
- education (low intimacy/high authority),
- leisure (low intimacy/low authority).

Given this purpose, how should I structure the group to achieve the intimacy/authority level?

3. What is the developmental level of the group members (what about ability levels)?

- pre-school,
- school aged,
- latency aged,
- pre-pubescent,
- young teen,
- older teen,
- young adult,
- middle aged,
- older adults in general,
- elderly

4. Given all of the above, what method will be employed and why this method?
- play group
 - art/crafts
 - activity/interview
 - educational
 - outward bound
 - drama/role play
 - talk/discussion
 - movement
 - work
 - community action
 - mixed

PRAGMATIC ISSUES

1. Where will the group be conducted?
Is the location suitable for:
- the method,
 - the purpose,
 - the developmental issues of the membership,
 - the abilities of the membership,
 - the transportation available and access needs of the membership,
 - the family situations of the membership,
 - support staff availability,
 - the types of activities,
 - the authority & intimacy needs of the group,
 - the number of people anticipated,
 - the type of problems the members might have.
2. What resources will I need for the group to be successful?
3. How long will each session last?
Will this length:
- overtax the members,
 - be too short to achieve goals,
4. How frequently will the group meet?
Will this:
- allow for continuity between sessions,
 - overtax the members,
 - interfere with other member plans (vacations, etc.),

5. For how long will the group meet (how many sessions in all)?
 - open vs. closed group,
 - are number of sessions sufficient for meet the goals,
 - should phasing be considered,

6. How many people can I accommodate in the group?
 - what is the maximum (before the program gets too watered down or unwieldy),
 - what is the minimum (before absenteeism greatly impact the program),
 - would a co-leader be feasible (will it allow more to be seen or better programming),
 - what is the cost-benefit of co-leadership,

7. At what time will the group meet?
 - what issues might be associated with timing (e.g., after school, no busses running etc.)
 - should you plan something in to make this easier (e.g., transportation, snacks etc.)

8. Is transportation available / necessary?

9. Is the room adequate?
 - size of room (too big vs. too small for the group),
 - equality of furniture,
 - distractions (craft supplies, toys),
 - chairs vs. couches,
 - privacy protected,
 - room for movement,
 - appropriate size to the task,
 - resources available in room, organized, user friendly,
 - safety issues,
 - location to wash room facilities (e.g., concern re. ex offenders),
 - lighting and windows,
 - limit or encourage interruptions,
 - circle size,
 - no table vs. table.

10. How will progress be measured and documented?

FORMATION ISSUES

1. The group will be:
 - homogeneous,
 - heterogeneous.

2. How will the homogeneity/heterogeneity be selected and balanced?
Things one may consider:

Ascribed Characteristics

- age range,
- sex distribution,
- race/ethnicity,
- physical attributes,

Other Member Characteristics

- geographic location,
- socio-economic status,
- family constellation or types,
- language,

Behavioural Attitude Characteristics

- problem type,
- ability,
- voluntariness,
- sexual orientation,
- maturity,
- areas of interest,
- activity levels,
- self/other orientations,

3. Are any members not supposed to be together (or shouldn't be)?
 - survivor/perpetrator issues,
 - non association orders,
 - peer/gang affiliations,
 - past conflicts,
 - out of group prior relationships,
 - siblings.

LEADERSHIP AND COLEADERSHIP ISSUES

1. What is the justification for co-leadership?
 - own discomfort and fears about group,
 - just like working with the other worker,
 - significant improvements in programming,
 - allows more to be seen in group - should a second group be offered,
 - gender balance in leadership - what is the rationale for service outcomes,
 - can the use of two be realistically justified at the service level.

2. What style of group leadership will best meet the intimacy/authority needs?
 - permissive/laissez faire,
 - directive/autocratic,
 - democratic/empowering,

3. What is the style of available co-leaders
 - fit with your style,
 - fit too well and create gaps in leadership,
 - clash with your style,
 - other poor fit.

4. Will there be a primary leader and secondary leader hierarchy?
 - who will take the lead,
 - what are roles based on,
 - what functions will each fulfil,
 - what about recording, admin, liaison etc.,
 - is a hierarchy of skill inherent,
 - how does each want the other to interact,
 - how will differences be processed in the group,
 - respect agreements and identification of strengths.

5. What is the plan for meeting together and debriefing/tweaking and planning the group?
 - time availability after each session,
 - place for meeting about group,
 - time to help with set up,
 - other time commitments for planning.

6. Are there resources for expert consultation, supervision, feedback.
 - internal to the agency,
 - external resources,
 - are external resources legitimised by the agency structure,
 - is access to expertise available.